Alberta Process for Consultation on the Canadian Sport Policy Renewal Process 2011

Background

Federal-Provincial/Territorial Ministers responsible for sport have agreed that officials should proceed with developing a successor policy to the Canadian Sport Policy and an accompanying joint action plan for federal and provincial/territorial governments. This will be reviewed and approved by the Ministers' at their next conference to be held in April 2012.

Initially developed in 2002, the vision of the Canadian Sport Policy is to have, by 2012 a dynamic and leading-edge sport environment that enables all Canadians to experience and enjoy involvement in sport to the extent of their abilities and interests and, for increasing numbers, to perform consistently and successfully at the highest competitive levels. In order to accomplish this vision, the Policy is supported by four goal statements:

Enhanced Participation

A significantly higher proportion of Canadians from all segments of society are involved in quality sport activities at all levels and in all forms of participation.

Enhanced Excellence

The pool of talented athletes has expanded and Canadian athletes and teams are systematically achieving world-class results at the highest levels of international competition through fair and ethical means.

Enhanced Capacity

The essential components of an ethically based, athlete/participant-centred development system are in place and are continually modernized and strengthened as required.

Enhanced Interaction

The components of the sport system are more connected and coordinated as a result of the committed collaboration and communication amongst the stakeholders.

The Ministers agreed that governments proceed with carrying-out consultations as the basis for developing a successor policy to the Canadian Sport Policy in the period March to June 2011. The four broad objectives of this consultation plan are to:

- Obtain information from Canadians in general as well as targeted populations on issues related to the content of the new policy;
- Continue the process of engaging the sport community in the development of the new policy;
- Engage targeted non-sport sectors in the development of the new policy; and
- Ensure that the input and feedback from the consultations is collected and considered in the development of the new policy.

In order for these objectives to be met, Alberta undertook an input process through two means:

- 1. Stakeholders have been invited to respond to a national e-survey through the Sport Information Resource Centre (SiRC).
- 2. Targeted conversations with stakeholders

In total there were 706 Albertans who responded to the e-survey that was available through sirc.ca. These 706 Albertans were comprised of 541 individuals and 165 organizations.

Alberta's targeted conversations took place with the following groups:

- Educational organizations
- Municipal recreation, regional sport councils and community sport representatives
- Provincial sport, recreation and active living organizations and other provincial partners through the Presidents Meetings

Alberta also participated in other consultation processes that were coordinated in the province. Representatives of various sectors participated in three input sessions with the Public Policy Forum through their Community Perspectives project. These consultations took place in Calgary and Edmonton with community level leaders and in Edmonton with provincial representatives. Finally, Albertans were involved in the National Sport consultation in Calgary that was coordinated by Sport Canada. Reports on these consultations will be made available through the coordinating body.

Summary of Findings

In all conversations, Alberta asked our participants to respond to the core questions that were identified by Sport Canada and the Canadian Sport Policy Renewal Team. These questions were:

- 1.0 What are the reasons for your organization's interest in promoting participation in sport? Prioritize the reasons.
 - 1.1 What are the most important challenges/issues/opportunities affecting your organization's efforts to promote and increase participation in sport?
 - 1.2 What strategies have you identified to deal with the above challenges/issues/opportunities?
- 2.0 How do you define a quality sport experience?
 - 2.1 What barriers currently exist?

- 8.0 Following is a list of program and service areas that have been identified to assess Canada's sport development delivery system.
 - 1. Coaches and instructors
 - 2. Officials referees, umpires, judges, etc.
 - 3. Facilities and equipment
 - 4. School sport system
 - 5. Parasport development inclusion/integration
 - 6. Training and pathways to employment
 - 7. Capitalizing on international events
 - 8. Equity policies
 - 9. Organizational capacity
 - 10. Research and innovation
 - 8.1 Identify the top three components (in order of priority) in which programming is currently sufficient and/or appropriate?
 - 8.2 Identify the top three components (in order of priority) in which programming is currently insufficient and/or inappropriate?
- 10.0 What are the resources (e.g. human, financial, equipment, facilities) that your organization needs to fully achieve its potential in delivering sport programs and services?
 - 10.1 What are some of the current limitations?
 - 10.2 What can you do about them or what have you done about them?
- 13.0 What are the benefits of improving linkages between provincial/territorial sport organizations and (i) municipal-level sport clubs, (ii) schools and (iii) recreation departments/organizations?
 - 13.1 What are the challenges to improving these linkages?
- 14.0 Do you promote sport participation intentionally for community-building purposes (where community-building is defined as pursuit of non-sport outcomes such as youth development, health promotion, gender equity, social inclusion, and conflict resolution) or is your orientation primarily for sport objectives?
 - 14.1 If not, why not? What conditions, if any, would need to exist for your organization to pursue non-sport outcomes?
 - 14.2 If yes, please share the positive outcomes from your efforts.
 - 14.3 Some see partnerships between sport organizations and non-sport organizations as a means to pursue non-sport objectives while simultaneously leveraging greater resources and accessing new audiences to increase sport participation. Is this your experience or could you see merit in such a notion?
 - 14.4 What are the benefits and challenges for sport organizations in partnering with non-sport groups who have a focus on community-building objectives (where community-building is defined as outcomes related to youth development, health promotion, gender equity, social inclusion, and conflict resolution)?

Throughout the course of the conversations in Alberta, a number of common themes emerged. Individuals and organizations are involved with the promotion of sport participation for health benefits, developing stronger citizens and building community capacity, being active for life and increasing revenue – whether that be through sport tourism or realizing other economic benefits of sport. Those organizations who participated also indicated they leadership development was a key reason for participating in the promotion of sport.

It was noted that sport experiences can be either positive or negative depending on the circumstances. In order for the positive effects to be realized, the sport must be **quality** sport. Elements that make sport a quality experience include being fun, in a safe environment, with quality coaches, in quality venues, being inclusive of all Canadians and providing the opportunity for personal development or achievement. These elements are not always present due to barriers that exist. Some of the barriers that were identified were: facility availability (both due to cost and access); the overall costs (including fees, equipment and travel); and parental involvement (parents not being involved [using sport as a babysitter] and therefore not having the resources to make the experience a quality one or parents who contribute to a negative experience).

In terms of identifying priorities across the sport system, there was agreement that coaches/instructors, facilities and organizational capacity were at the top through all three conversation groups. The order of importance may have changed, but the top three was consistent. In terms of areas where a sufficient job is being done, all three groups indicated that equity policies are being adequately addressed, while municipalities and schools indicated that organizational capacity was being adequately looked after. On the issue of areas that are being insufficiently addressed, all groups that we talked with indicated that we can improve in the areas of facilities and equipment, coaches and instructors – specifically the financial burden on coaches, the high demands that are placed on them and there is a need for a user friendly development model. An interesting observation is that outside of the school sport system, people commented that we need to improve the school sport system – around the areas of joint facility use; elitism that starts at an early age in schools; teachers not necessarily being good coaches; and provincial sport organizations becoming a better resource for the schools.

All groups identified that we need to do a better job of improving linkages throughout the sport system. These improved linkages will lead to better use of available facilities; a greater sharing of resources, "seamless" development for athletes and a better overall sport system in the country. The biggest barrier to improving these linkages is a need to overcome the territoriality and ownership issues and examine the capacity that is available in the system.

In addition to asking the core questions as identified by Sport Canada, Alberta also examined the issue of underrepresented groups and Canadian Sport for Life with the municipal group and the provincial organizations. Time did not permit us to ask these questions with the group of school representatives

- 4.0 Should efforts be made to increase the participation of under-represented groups in sport? Why or why not?
 - 4.1 If yes, how should the targeted groups be identified?
- 7.0 The CS4L model has been widely adopted by many governments and sport organizations throughout Canada as a means of delivering developmentally appropriate quality sport experience to participants of all ages and at all levels of development.
 - 7.1 What is the current impact of CS4L implementation?
 - 7.2 What do you think will be the future impact of CS4L implementation?
 - 7.3 What are the current barriers to successful implementation of the CS4L model?

When it comes to underrepresented groups, participants felt it was important to make extra efforts to increase sport participation in this area. There are tremendous health benefits to be accrued, in addition to the possibility to increase self esteem of participants involved in sport. Other social goals such as crime prevention, connecting people on a social level were also mentioned, but above all it was indicated that sport should be for all and participation needs to be barrier free and to get there some enhanced efforts need to be made for certain groups.

On the subject of Canadian Sport for Life, there was a wide range of opinion on what the current impact has been. Many participants indicated that it was good to have a common language that all those in the sport system can talk to and it has provided an opportunity for sport to engage other sectors, while others indicated that the impact has been minimal. Many believe that the concept will allow for greater cohesiveness within the sport system, thus resulting in greater utilization of resources and becoming more focussed in our actions. The concept may also be able to provide that linkage to other sectors which has the potential to add resources. Some of the barriers to successfully implementing the concept across the system that were identified included an overall awareness as well as a lack of commitment/resources to accomplish system-wide implementation.

The following reports are attached to this summary for further information:

- 1. Alberta Schools Athletic Association AGM
- 2. Alberta Municipal/Club Sport meeting
- 3. Alberta Provincial Stakeholders meeting

CSP 2.0 - Alberta Schools Athletic Association AGM

The following feedback was obtained from a session with the attendees of the Annual General Meeting of the Alberta Schools Athletics Association in Edmonton on May 13th, 2011. After discussion with the ASAA, a facilitated session was added to the end of the Annual General Meeting and participants agreed to stay for approximately one hour to discuss the renewal of the Canadian Sport Policy. Representatives were made up of athletic directors, teachers and school coaches. The timeframe for soliciting feedback was limited therefore only questions 1, 2, 6, 8 & 13 were posed.

Despite the timing of the session (being at the end of a two day annual general meeting and on a Friday afternoon), the group was very engaged and provided a lot of positive feedback into the process. It was acknowledged that school sport provides opportunities for approximately 750,000 students across the country and that these activities are led by over 52,000 volunteer coaches and teachers in over 3,200 schools.

Large Group Discussion Question 1.1

Thinking about your school environment, what are the most important challenges/issues/opportunities affecting efforts to promote and increase participation in sport?

Challenges/Issues

- School athletics enables increased sportsmanship
- Incidences of unsportsmanlike conduct with fans, parents, coaches
- Parent involvement can be challenging
- Club sport is competing for the school sport athlete
- Lack of coaches reliance on community coach
- Lack of funding
- Lack of facilities
- Officials costs and training
- Increased legality issues and processes
- New teacher education is lacking the emphasis and commitment to coaching
- Quality vs. quantity concerns
- Decreased overall activity level in athletes
- Duty of care responsibilities imposed on teachers
- Logistics around team admin and organization (tournaments, international trips, etc.)
- Increased athlete numbers lots of student athletes trialing for teams (selection, coach demands, facility)
- Safe venues
- Competing demands on athletes (club, rep, provincial, community, etc.)
- Travel costs and duty of care required to travel
- Activity losing out to technology/electronics
- Losing athletes as grades increase

Opportunities

- Increased leadership skills and leadership opportunities
- Enhanced community engagement
- Development of multi sport athletes
- Sportsmanship prevalent
- Collaboration opportunities
- Common standards in development of individual

Small Group Discussions Question 2.0

How do you define a Quality Sport Experience?

- Fun
- Organized
- Positive outcome
- Sportsmanship
- Challenging
- Availability of knowledgeable coaches and officials
- Fans
- Enthusiasm
- Reflective of facilities/equipment/resources
- Recognition school (school pride), media, community
- Building self esteem
- Self conditioning
- Character development
- Sport for Fun vs Competitive Sport (e.g. pond hockey vs Sport Academies)
- Enjoyment regardless of outcome (win or lose)
- Camaraderie
- Educational opportunities for new coaches training
- ASAA expertise from Athletic Directors
- Dedicated coaches
- ASAA structure, framework, governance
- Skill acquisition for Sport 4 Life
- Wanting to give back through experience coaches, officials, volunteers
- Success (winning, small successes)
- Positive Memories (Lifelong)
- Sense of belonging
- Citizenship develop athlete role models
- Transferable skills time management, perseverance
- Health for Life
- Sport within Families
- Varying opinions on value of sport (school, club, parents)
- Opportunities for all athletes

Question 2.1

What barriers currently exist?

- Scheduling within schools facility access
- Fees to participate
- Travel costs & opportunities
- Parent commitment (volunteer aspect)
- Student part time jobs (to pay for cell phones, etc.)
- Community/club sports
- Over-scheduling of athletes
- Philosophy of community coaches (high competitive) vs school coaches (developmental)
- Jurisdictional issues scheduling, boards, zones, policies
- Cost of officiating
- Equipment costs
- Sport academies
- Admin support of Athletic Directors (no prep time)
- Staff support for school events
- Time
- Low Education funding
- Succession planning for replacing coaches
- Teacher prep time
- Club support (winning)
- Negative parents
- Broken families
- Families can't afford the sport
- Parents expect that kids on school teams are entitled to play (more or equally)

Question 6.0

Is there a need for governments to better define the respective roles and responsibilities of the federal and provincial governments with regard to High Performance Sport? Why, or why not?

- There are discrepancies between sports
- Success can't come without funding
- Roles are not defined, therefore things fall between the cracks
- Need to define who governs officials, etc.
- Is there continuity between provinces? E.g. Quebec puts a lot of money into sport
- A long term commitment is needed to ensure success
- Yes schools are unaware of the roles definitely need to be defined
- Disconnect within individual sports individual sport organizations not communicating from NSO down to clubs, regarding High Performance athletes
- High School sport is NOT high performance, why mimic international sport?
- National sport bodies "drive" the rules for all, even if it isn't appropriate
- Canada is confused with regards to philosophy

- There is a lack of P.E. specialists at all grade levels therefore smaller pool of true athletes
- We are losing the base and mid level of the pyramid, which will decrease the amount of high performance athletes
- The hockey model works (municipalities ensure success of sport due to facility funding), but it affects other sports – b/c hockey is so successful, more athletes are playing hockey instead of other sports. Were these facilities built without participation first?
- Linkages should also include Municipal governments
- Focusing on increased participation in sport and healthy lifestyles should help high performance in the long term
- The provincial government does have a role in high performance sport, but the roles need to be defined – due to geographical issues – Canada is so large, and facilities and training centres are spread out – nationally it can't be centralized so the provinces need to play a role.
- High School exposes the kids to sport, they then need to take the next steps
- What is priority? A healthy general population or a successful group of athletes? Is funding allocated to OTP better served for the general population?
- What is the philosophy? High performance philosophy will have us all in publicly funded sport academies.
- ◆ LTAD will show in 10 12 years, therefore our system of growth will be evident and we will see benefits
- Urban vs Rural issues it is much more difficult for rural participants (decreased exposure to sports, decreased opportunities)

Question 8.0

Program and service areas used to assess Canada's sport development delivery system:

- Coaches & Instructors
- Officials
- Facilities & Equipment
- School Sport System
- Para-sport development inclusion/integration

- Training & pathways to employment
- Capitalizing on International Events
- Equity Policies
- Organizational Capacity
- Research and Innovation

Question 8.1

Identify the top three components in which programming is currently sufficient and/or appropriate:

- 1. Organizational Capacity
- 2. Equity Policies
- 3. School Sport System

Question 8.2

Identify the top three components in which programming is currently insufficient and/or inappropriate:

- 1. Coaches & Instructors
 - Financial burden
 - Expectation to coach more than one sport
 - Lack of recognition within school system
 - Elementary physical education expect to know all sports well
- 2. Facilities & Equipment
 - Community collaboration rely on school facilities
 - Lack of government funding
- 3. Officials
 - Lack of officials
 - Consistency
 - Training & retention young officials
 - Evaluation systems
 - Lack of grant funding

Question 13.0

What are the benefits of improving linkages between provincial sport organizations and schools?

- Increased number of athletes
- Collaboration with PSO regarding tournament dates
- Transfer of coach education
- Youth need other athletic/ art opportunities offering a balance
- Official training (some sports not all)
- PSO policy re: school sport (e.g. AVA has policies regarding school sport season

 provincial schedule not to interfere with school season)
- Sport skill training tactics, progression, rules
- More children/youth involved in sport
- PSO provides more Resources link can develop a skill progression card by age/level
- Exposure to new sports (fencing, team handball)

Question 13.1

What are the challenges to improving these linkages?

- Respect/flexibility to share athletes athlete focus
- Coach or parent pressure/attitude (agenda to win)
- PSO must take initiative with schools for athlete development
- Community coach agendas
- Differing philosophy regarding athlete development mandate
- Appropriate supervision if community coach still need a teacher for supervision
- Lack of contact with a Sport Development officer used to have outreach programs

- Lack of capacity with PSO/School Sport
- Same athletes in programs
- Poor communication from PSO
- Conflicting sport agendas
- Communication channels via ASAA, PSO, Commissioners
- No elementary phys. Ed specialists FMS, sport skills
- Club sport is year-round
- Season of play (sport specific)
- Official associations
- Schedule
- Rep programs
- Schools are making sport a priority, but not providing financial & human resources to support

Alberta Schools Athletic Association Executive and staff

John Paton, Executive Director
Joyce Loucks, Vice-President
Kelle Hansen, Executive Member at Large
George Hoyt, Boys Director of Athletics
Tracy Sullivan, Girls Director of Athletics
Michelle Ross, Deputy Director
Tyler Callaghan, Assistant Director
Cori Wallace, Administrative Assistant
Michael Steele, Program Coordinator

Commissioners

Bill McConkey, Football
Dale Henderson, Golf
Pat Forsyth, Girls Rugby
George Grant, Wrestling
Craig Patton, Boys Rugby
Michael Vaughan, Boys Volleyball
Carolyn Martin, Curling
Steven Lush, Team Handball
Jeannie Monilaws, Cheerleading
Bruce O'Neil, Track and Field
Ryan Reed, Boys Basketball
Daryn Galatiuk, Sportsmanship
Susan Moncks, Girls Volleyball
Darryl Smith, Cross Country
Pat Chizek, Officials

ASAA Zone Representatives

Dean Sawatzky, Calgary Zone Rep Tom Parker, Calgary Zone Secretary Karen Sargent, Calgary Zone Rep Bill Bobenic, Calgary Zone President

Norma Smith, Edmonton Metro Zone Secretary

Scott Sinclair, Edmonton Public Zone Secretary Kris Fedun, Edmonton Public Zone Rep

Patricia McCambly, South Central Zone President

Larry Wagner, North West Zone Secretary Erin Martin, North West Zone President

Randy Whitehead, South Zone President Marg Derbyshire, South Zone Secretary Randy Bohnet, South Zone Rep

Maurice Richard, North East Zone President Daryl Venance, North East Zone Treasurer Nicole Polishuk, North East Zone Secretary

Tim Schultz, North Central Zone President Wade Hicks, North Central Zone Rep

Shawna Pearman, Central Zone Secretary Jill de Jonge, Central Zone Rep Gino Castellan, Central Zone Rep

Other Board Members

Greg Bass, CASS Anne-Marie Boucher, Alberta School Boards Association Barb Young, Health and Physical Education Council Darryl Christensen, Member At Large

CSP 2.0 - Alberta Municipal/Club Sport Meeting

The following feedback was obtained from a meeting on June 10th, 2011 in Red Deer, Alberta. Representatives of Municipalities, local Sport Councils and local clubs in Central Alberta were in attendance. Approximately 40 invitations were sent out with 15 participants attending. This full day workshop encompassed questions 1, 2, and 13 in a large group setting, and questions 4, 7, 8 and 10 in small groups. Participants in the small groups were all given the opportunity to provide feedback on each of question 4, 7, 8 and 10.

Question 1.0

What are your reasons for your organization's interest in promoting participation in sport?

- Building community capacity
- Increasing access new Canadians, single moms, economically challenged
- High performance
- Active for life
- Active living
- Access to facilities facility limitations pending need for facility (recreation vs. world cups)
- Economic generation hosting events
- Sport tourism
- Health and wellness
- Partner balances in facility development
- Accommodation of diversity of sports in facilities
- Sport Tourism

Question 1.1

What are the most important challenges affecting your organization's efforts to promote and increase participation?

- Gaps in the system
- What happens to non profit organizations
- Focus has become facility development
- Priorities have shifted from programs to facilities
- Partner process to develop, generate revenue and build
- Balance of the partner involvement
- Simplify process for investment
- Ask from the community is complex sport diversity and community diversity
- No longer seasons of play some sports are year around
- Fee based allocation restrictions
- Role definition for partners utilize LTAD model
- Municipal, Provincial and Federal budget decisions
- School budget cutbacks
- Leadership certification and screening

- Influx in for-profit organizations sport programming
- Quality experiences are distorted hidden costs of sport (out of province/country tournaments, uniforms, player cards, schwag)
- Coaching certification & leadership
- Access to school facilities

Question 1.2

What strategies have you identified to deal with the challenges?

- Collaboration with the LSO (local sport org)
- Aquatic strategy all water users common messaging to planners, decision makers
- City of Lacombe took over coordinating specific levels of sport programs
- Alignment of vision
- Empower users to assist with decision making (public investment process)
- Breakdown barriers of user groups
- Use CS4L/LTAD model to assist with facility development and allocation
- Clarify the role of all partners align with LTAD framework
- Facility development collaboration Games input (CWG/CSG), PSO input
- Facility type advisor groups
- A provincial direction on sport needed
 - Municipal to post secondary to Alberta Sport Development Centers to Canadian Sport Center

Question 2.0

How do you define a quality sport experience?

- Fun (4)
- Community sense of belonging (3)
- Allows for personal achievement (2)
- Development/improvement of skills (2)
- Positive
- Engagement
- Not adult sport super-imposed on children/youth
- Total experience (pre-during-post)
- Flow/Runners High
- Safe environment
- Provides leadership
- Winning
- Health benefits
- Socialization/integration
- Positivity

Question 2.1

What barriers currently exist?

- Cost (3)
- Facilities cost (2)
- Facilities access (2)
- Skill level (2)
- Time (2)
- Cultural (2)
- Equipment cost
- Equipment access
- Coaching
- Officials
- Parents vicarious experiences, lack of education, sport as babysitter
- Expectations to win
- Programs available
- Education
- Limitless
- Lack of global identity
- Lack of support
- Lack of knowledge
- Lack of priority
- Transportation
- Language
- Losing
- Safety
- Sport ethics
- Physicality (violence)
- Childcare/snacks/drinks (underprivileged)

Question 4.0

Should efforts be made to increase the participation of under-represented groups in sport? Why or why not?

YES

- Right to Play
- All children/youth should have the opportunity to participate in the sport of their choice
- Risk factors are different in every community, must be assessed
- Participation should be barrier free
- Must recognize that targeted programs will look different
- Equity vs. Equality everyone is able to cross the finish line, how they get there will look different
- Need to target demographically/geographically to where under represented populations are

NO

- Don't want to risk losing the "represented" population programming
- Who should pay for targeted programs?

Question 7.1

What is the current impact of CS4L implementation?

- Minimal at grassroots
- Regarding branding using CS4L language but limited structural changes in programming (lip service)
- Linkages between stages
- Awareness phase
- Programming "rally cats"
- Looking beyond sport cross sectoral
- Push back on the word "sport"
- Allocation of facilities based on the LTAD model
- Inventory of CS4L programming
- Provided some rationale for municipalities for allocation of facilities/funding
- Limited/sporadic in many municipalities

Question 7.2

What do you think will be the future impact of CS4L implementation?

- More consistency of programs/decreasing duplication
- More cohesive sport community
- Better utilization of resources creating efficiencies
- Increase opportunities for everyone (inclusion)
- More athletes on the podium
- People active for life
- Opportunity to evaluate what is currently offered
- Recreation facility development/design
- Policy direction/role definitions
- Increased opportunity for partnerships
- More dialogue/breaking down barriers between cross sectors
- Informed/educated parents, thus more involvement
- Athlete specialization at later age
- Appropriate programming for all ages especially young participants
- A tool to measure impact is still required

Question 7.3

What are the current barriers to successful implementation of the CS4L model?

- No CS4L or LTAD appropriate program
- Fear of change old school philosophy
- Fear of losing athlete
- Funding reductions
- Lack of sport willingness to change athlete development model
- Awareness of CS4L/LTAD
- Parent knowledge of quality programs developmentally appropriate
- Public awareness and knowledge
- Capacity to change
- Buy in from Education
- Lack of commitment of resources (funding, people)

- Transfer of knowledge
- Communication channels
- Role of municipality in rec/sport
- "Action piece" So what? Now what?

Question 8.0

Following is a list of program and service areas that have been identified to assess Canada's sport development delivery system. Please identify the top five values in order of importance (with 1 being the most important).

Program/Service Area		ler of ortance		ogramming is officient		ogramming is sufficient
Coaches and instructors	11	#2			6	#2
Officials – referees, umpires, judges, etc.	2				1	
Facilities and equipment	14	#1	4	#2	8	#1
School sport system	6	#3			4	#3
Para-sport development – inclusion/ integration	3	#5	2		2	
Training and pathways to employment	1				4	#3
Capitalizing on international events	4	#4	1		2	
Equity policies	3	#5	5	#1		_
Organizational capacity	11	#2	4	#2	4	#3
Research and innovation	1		3	#3	2	

Comments for Question 8

Coaches and instructors

- Delivery value
- Declining certification rates reliant on volunteers/need user friendly delivery model

Officials - referees, umpires, judges, etc.

No comments

Facilities and equipment

- Safety
- Access
- Standards
- Joint use

- More facilities
- Appropriate sized equipment important
- Accessibility (transportation and funding challenges)

School sport system

- After school programs
- Coaching/instruction
- Joint use important (community & schools)
- Experienced program cuts in the past
- Physical literacy to be addressed
- Lack of variety of sports
- Lack of expertise in elementary teachers

Para-sport development – inclusion/ integration

- General awareness is lacking
- Need for integration into mainstream program areas

Training and pathways to employment

No comments

Capitalizing on international events

- Political influence = funding
- Selection of events host communities benefit
- Facility development legacies

Equity policies

No comments

Organizational capacity

- Policies
- Defined roles & responsibilities
- The business of sport/business planning (2)
- Legacy
- Funding
- Municipal integration with National policy

Research and innovation

Evidence based decision-making

Question 13.0

What are the benefits of improving linkages between PSO, LSO, schools and recreation departments?

- Enables participants to have seamless experience moving from organization to organization to get the level they need
- Better use of funds and resources
- Efficiencies
- True collaboration
- Understanding roles/responsibilities and capacities at each level
- Aligning horizontal with vertical development
- Unified group working toward common goal
- Utilization of experts and specialists
- Balance of elite/high performance with grassroots
- Cross sectoral use of \$
- Raising profile of sport
- Specialized needs recognized
- Understand expectations
- Justify profile of sport

Question 13.1

What are the challenges to improving these linkages?

- Resources and capacity
- Territoriality
- Breakdown of barriers
- Various mandates conflict
- Measurement of return on investment (ROI)
- So What? Now What?
- Political will support
- We tend to celebrate successes poorly
- Don't celebrate successes well
- Capacity
- Lack of a common vision
- Linkage to sport officials

Question 14.0

Do you promote sport participation <u>intentionally</u> for community-building purposes (where community-building is defined as pursuit of non-sport outcomes such as youth development, health promotion, gender equity, social inclusion, and conflict resolution) or is your orientation primarily for sport objectives?

YES

- New Members
- Mentors
- Retention

- Leadership development
- Social inclusion new Canadians, special needs
- Health reasons
- Economic benefits
- Provides opportunities to reach out culture, religion, age, demographic
- Grassroots & high performance participation LTAD-CS4L
- ◆ Collaboration multi sport/rec disciplines
- → Holistic benefits use cultural events/groups and opportunities to promote sport inside it
- Deal with different interests
- Community event with a sport competition to build and share (synergy) benefits (i.e. target markets, exposure to more people, funding
- ⋆ Volunteer efficiency/workload
- → Lack of resources (re: \$ and volunteerism) restrict capacity to build.
- Culture of sport in Canada/Alberta/municipal/club

Question 14.4

Challenges?

- Need to develop/improve facilities obtain a partner i.e. sport facility renewal program
- Improve Brand awareness to generate business/relationships
- Sponsor partnership
- ♣ Program/services delivery need staff, leadership, expertise
- Financial access

Meeting Attendees:

City of Calgary Hayden Kowel

City of Edmonton Jill Gillis

City of Edmonton Shauna Richard City of Edmonton Renee Kozak City of Lacombe Sandi Stewart City of Red Deer Rob Meckling City of Leduc Rachel Yeung City of Spruce Grove Ken Luck **Edmonton Sport Council** Gary Shelton Sport Calgary Tim Bjornson Catalina Swim Club James Hood ASRPWF - Chair Kay Kenny ASRPWF - Staff Roger Kramers ASRPWF - Staff Shona Schleppe ASRPWF - Staff Chris Buchanan ASRPWF - Staff Stacey Plitt

CSP 2.0 - Alberta Provincial Stakeholders Meeting

On June 14th (Edmonton) and June 15th (Calgary), provincially funded sport, recreation and active living organizations were invited to attend the annual President's Meetings of the Alberta Sport, Recreation, Parks and Wildlife Foundation. This annual meeting of provincially funded organizations provides the Foundation an opportunity to update the community on news and events of the Foundation as well as providing the opportunity for focused conversation on a particular topic. The topic for the 2011 meetings was the renewal of the Canadian Sport Policy.

Over the course of the course of the afternoon meetings, approximately 2 ¼ hours was available for discussion on the Sport Policy renewal. While all funded organizations were invited, given the nature of the discussion the attendance was primarily comprised of sport organizations. It is important to note in the context of the feedback, that provincially funded organizations in Alberta received an 18.5% cut in core operational funding in 2010-11.

Question 1.0

What are the reasons for your organization's interest in promoting participation in sport?

- Health Benefits (9)
- Develop strong/responsible/contributing citizens (9)
- Lifelong sport/active for life (5)
- Leadership development(5)
- Increase revenue to organization (5)
- Community economic benefits (3)
- Long term social benefits to athletes (4)
- Community spirit/building (4)
- Increased membership (4)
- Fun/enjoyment (4)
- Environmental stewardship (3)
- Growth of grassroots programs (3)
- Appreciation of outdoors (3)
- Holism (3)
- Increased participation (3)
- Create opportunities for all to participate inclusion (3)
- Promote high performance/elite sport (3)
- Enhanced quality of life (3)
- Jobs for coaches (3)
- Improved learning (education component)/improved school engagement
 (3)
- Safety (3)
- Goal setting (3)
- Team work/building (3)
- Self awareness/self concept/self esteem building (3)

- Engage/enhance communities (2)
- ◆ CS4L/LTAD (2)
- Travel (2)
- Decreased public health care costs (2)
- Volunteerism (2)
- Develop athletes for Olympics and world championships (2)
- Inclusion/equal opportunities (2)
- Development of life skills (2)
- Mentorship/ positive role modeling (2)
- Recreation vs. competitive opportunities (2)
- Exposure to sport (2)
- Increase talent base (2)
- Overall personal growth & development (2)
- Personal excellence (2)
- Sport tourism (2)
- Increase female participation (2)
- Excellence in Sport
- Opportunities for youth at risk
- Skill development
- Physical literacy
- Higher level of achievement
- Expanding sport community
- Creating public support
- Promote physical activity practitioners in training
- Friendships/social bonding
- Decreased delinquency & social issues/ impact on Justice system (56)
- Realizing efficiencies
- Increased profile of sport as a viable business
- Economic impact of hosting events
- Growth of sport, competing for athletes
- Awareness/Generate interest
- Build team spirit
- Social capital
- Increased capacity for community engagement
- Early specialization of training
- Love of sport
- Awareness of Canadian heritage
- Celebrating a sense of success
- Sport sustainability
- Skill development for persons with disabilities
- Increased self esteem and reduced health problems for females
- Physical, mental, communication and social connections increase for persons 55+
- Social networking
- Fostering independence
- Increased access

- Decrease barriers to access for low income families
- Ability to reach maximum potential
- Fairness
- Fundamental movement skills
- Regular physical activity
- Promoting something adults like to do
- Discipline
- Mental acuity
- Learning trust in others
- Increase youth participation
- Disabled populations better lifestyle
- Increased sense of belonging
- Decreased isolation
- Infrastructure
- More physically active Canadians
- More playground to podium opportunities
- Feeder system for community level volunteers & staff
- Organizational capacity/development

Question 2.0

How do you define a quality sport experience?

- Fun/enjoyable (10)
- Safety/risk management (10)
- Quality coaches (8)
- Skill development (7)
- Appropriate competition age and developmentally (7)
- Quality venues/facilities (6)
- Team building (6)
- Inclusive (6)
- Goal based/achieving (5)
- Socialization/relationship building (5)
- Ample and appropriate/useful equipment (5)
- Well organized (5)
- Positive/sportsmanship (4)
- Quality officials (4)
- Challenging (3)
- Personal growth & achievement (3)
- Participation (3)
- Win/lose (3)
- Accessibility (5)
- Affordability (3)
- Increased physical fitness (3)
- LTAD compatible (2)
- Community support (2)
- Fairness (2)

- Self esteem/confidence (2)
- Equal opportunity (2)
- Desire to continue/participation for life (2)
- Achieving goals (2)
- Achieving personal bests (2)
- Respect in sport
- Money
- Engaging
- Technical/Tactical/Mental/Physical
- Integration into community
- Testing of one's limits
- Varying environment for competition
- Positive outcome
- Injury free
- Bully-free
- Acceptance
- Self fulfilling
- Creating an identity
- Retention
- Athlete centered focus
- Training opportunities
- Potential to build good citizens
- Sustainable system
- Differences are respected
- Clear pathway to excellence
- Rewards hard work
- Family sport
- Time and materials to train coaches/officials/volunteers
- Sport sciences
- Visibility/promotions/marketing
- Winning and losing
- Volunteers
- Experience flow state
- Positive feedback
- Willingness to promote
- Access to advanced competition
- Multi sport access
- Cross training
- Free play
- Under represented groups programming
- Coach and athlete accountability
- Good policies
- Barrier free
- Quality training
- Mental stimulation
- Ethical

- Parent education
- Caring relationships between coaches and athletes
- Accountability for outcomes
- Ethnic groups feel comfortable
- Choices
- Educational opportunities

Question 4.0

Should efforts be made to increase the participation of under-represented groups in sport? Why or why not?

YES

- Health benefits for all/quality of life (5)
- Reduced pressures on health care system (4)
- Self esteem/self confidence (4)
- Sport skills transferred to life skills leadership (4)
- Reduce crime rates (3)
- Social connections/relationship building/Networking (3)
- Equal opportunities (2)
- Membership increase (2)
- Stronger communities (2)
- Role models created in under represented groups (2)
- Increase health of family units/cohesion (2)
- Language barriers/ESL (2)
- Global Health
- Chronic disease prevention & management
- Team spirit/cohesion
- Discipline
- Independence
- Sense of achievement
- Sport for All
- Variety of sports provided
- Different levels of competition provided
- Increase fair play by teaching inclusion "Pride & Growth through integration"
- Having fun didn't know what they were missing
- Creating identities
- Increase participation = increase funding
- Sponsorship increase
- Facility access increase
- Resources increase
- Sport needs diversity (inclusion keeps it from being boring)
- A means of expression for those who have lost physical abilities
- Aboriginal communities WANT to be active
- Worthy of political and social support

Considerations

- Cultural barriers allows for new sports
- Interpreters needed (i.e. deaf)
- Appropriate coaching required
- Positive atmospheres
- School programming needed inclusive
- Communication must improve
- Safety
- Programs may need to be modified
- Specialized training required for coaches
- Specialized equipment required
- Function based training
- Liability & risk management
- Awareness of programming option
- Accessibility must be ensured
- Sustainability
- Parental education/awareness
- Health care provides awareness
- How much core PSO funding goes to under represented groups?
- Stronger national sport system by greater pool of athletes
- Awareness and respect for environment
- Focus on communities as opposed to differences
- More groups being identified
- Shouldn't the sport system reflect society?

Other groups identified

- Children with Autism and non-clearly defined disabilities
- Non-parents/Non-aging population
- Smokers
- ESL
- Prisoners
- Military personnel
- Mental health

Question 7.1

What is the current impact of CS4L implementation?

- Confusion in transition (3)
- Making a big deal out of a simple, good sport development model
- Most sports are/were doing it
- Some sports are changing it for their own use
- Coaches don't always teach for the appropriate age CS4L helps to improve this
- Not all clubs know/understand the phases
- Active for life
- Useful to bring forward changes as it provides research

- It is not reaching the community level
- Currently called "good coaching principles" and may not be recognized as CS4L
- Provides an opportunity for the collective to engage in a common language
- LTAD incorporated into existing programs/training
- Question Is it well researched???
- Some groups are only incorporating certain components
- There is inconsistency
- Some resistance to implementing
- NSO's/PSO's aware but impacts are slow to trickle down
- Infrastructure & organizations incongruent with the philosophy
- Celebrate organizations/associations that have adopted CS4L & implemented
- Increased awareness in school system of LTAD/CS4L concepts
- Acceptance growing in health sector of importance of sport & physical activity
- Redevelopment of coaching
- Aligning from grass roots moving towards higher levels of athlete/coach development
- Creation of common language
- Inter-sectoral relationships
- Increases in funding in F/P-T
- Creation of literature and resources
- New corporate partnerships
- New national awareness programs (Sport Day in Canada, Run, Run Revolution) & media exposure
- Slow cultural change
- National CS4L conference
- Increased knowledge

Question 7.2

What do you think will be the future impact of CS4L implementation?

- May be better collaboration between levels (2)
- Allow for funding for all stages of development
- Allow athletes/coaches to take from sport what they want not all top athletes
- Should have a positive impact on health
- Active for life = participation
- Improved community that we live in
- A more active Alberta
- Social benefits
- Marketing sport & rec within CS4L as being "heart-friendly"
- Demand for adult level competition
- May see a demand for multi sport programming

- Relevant to summer camps
- More level playing field across the province
- Reduction in health care costs
- More activity for life
- Increased understanding and commitment to physical literacy
- More productive/results based population
- Opportunity for growing communities
- Increased overall individual athleticism
- Realignment of sport structure
- Increased delivery of physical literacy
- Better prepared high performance athletes
- More and better coaches
- Increased integration between sectors

Question 7.3

What are the current barriers to successful implementation of the CS4L model?

- Funding (2)
- Confusion
- Incorporation into existing programs
- CS4L being an unorganized organization
- No tools, practical usable tools for organizations to implement
- Often organizations are already doing it
- Over-thinking making it more difficult
- Volunteers
- Educational opportunities
- Increase the awareness of CS4L principles & values to broader Cdn. public outside sport
- Change in individual & societal attitudes to benefits
- Parental interest & commitment
- HP role models need to be integrated into the CS4L framework
- Connect heroes to activity
- Current sport system often does not support principles of multi sport involvement
- How do sport organizations change to be more inclusive?
- Task too big for available resources
- Implementation strategies
- · Reaching grassroots community level
- Appropriate measurement tools
- Reaching all sports
- Roles and responsibilities
- Communication
- NCCP
- Dissemination of information
- Perception of CS4L for elite athletes
- Promotion

Proof the system works

Question 8.0

Following is a list of program and service areas that have been identified to assess Canada's sport development delivery system. Please identify the top five values in order of importance (with 1 being the most important).

Ore	der of Importar	nce	
Program/Service Area	Provincial Meeting Calgary	Provincial Meeting Edmonton	TOTAL
Coaches and instructors	64	52	116 #1
Officials – referees, umpires, judges, etc.	36	23	59 #4
Facilities and equipment	63	42	105 #2
School sport system	20	16	36 #5
Para-sport development – inclusion/ integration	14	12	26
Training and pathways to employment	7	13	20
Capitalizing on international events	8	3	11
Equity policies	4	4	8
Organizational capacity	32	36	68 #3
Research and innovation	11	16	27

Question 8.1Identify the top three components in which programming is currently sufficient and/or appropriate.

Programming is SUFFICIENT				
Program/Service Area	Provincial Meeting Calgary	Provincial Meeting Edmonton	TOTAL	
Coaches and instructors	9	7	16 #3	
Officials – referees, umpires, judges, etc.	4	14	18 #2	
Facilities and equipment	3	2	5	
School sport system	1	4	5	
Para-sport development – inclusion/ integration	3	1	4	
Training and pathways to employment	2	0	2	
Capitalizing on international events	11	10	21 #1	
Equity policies	5	11	16 #3	
Organizational capacity		4	4	
Research and innovation	9	5	14	

Question 8.2 Identify the top three components in which programming is currently insufficient and/or inappropriate.

Programming is INSUFFICIENT				
Program/Service Area	Provincial Meeting Calgary	Provincial Meeting Edmonton	TOTAL	
Coaches and instructors	37	20	57 #2	
Officials – referees, umpires, judges, etc.	22	13	35	
Facilities and equipment	47	32	79 #1	
School sport system	20	26	46 #3	
Para-sport development – inclusion/ integration	11	12	23	
Training and pathways to employment	2	6	8	
Capitalizing on international events	7	2	9	
Equity policies	3	7	10	
Organizational capacity	13	18	31	
Research and innovation	18	9	27	

Comments for Question 8

Coaches and instructors

- Fundamental to all programs (2)Essential to a positive sport experience (2)
 - o Especially in rural areas

- Not enough coaches need to recruit
- High turnover when athletes retire, parents retire
- Burnout high
- Demand high
- Elite athletes do not necessarily make good future coaches
- LTAD is heavy on time commitment
- Technical knowledge has decreased
- Lack of opportunity to move up
- Majority of coaches are not properly educated to coach
- Need for coaches to start programs/clubs
- Need facilitators in new NCCP
- Integration of LTAD in coaching
- Participant retention linked to quality instruction
- Coordinated system for delivery (including officials)
- Coaching mentorship important
- Coaches paid vs. volunteers
- Coaching legacies important
- Water polo feels NCCP is sufficient

Officials – referees, umpires, judges, etc.

· Time & training needs significant time

Facilities and equipment

- Programs do not exist without facilities (2)
- Limited access (2)
- Facilities are aging but still required to run programs safety issue (2)
- Facilities pull communities together
- Facilities purpose need to be redefined not all Olympic level
- Need to partner with other groups for facilities (i.e. arts groups)
- Need to tap into the schools for facilities and equipment usage joint agreements
- Facility access in regards to user proximity
- Number of facilities relative to increase in population
- Costs of facilities
- Bobsleigh feels facilities sufficient but equipment insufficient
- Too much red tape (i.e. liabilities)
- Smaller centres need more/better
- Are public funds being utilized effectively?
- Need facility renovations to address multi sport users

School sport system

- Elitism occurring in schools as low as Junior High
- Funding required (i.e. bussing costs)
- The first point of contact for many kids to the sport system
- Teachers do not equate to good coaches
- PSO's need to become a good resource to schools

- Need to build a strong relationship (PSO Schools)
- Schools have insufficient resources
- Must integrate with overall sport system
- Very short season
- Key developmental time to introduce competition

Para-sport development – inclusion/ integration

- General awareness is lacking
- Inclusions
- Branding
- Higher profile & integration of adaptive sports required

Training and pathways to employment

No comments

Capitalizing on international events

Technology important

Equity policies

No comments

Organizational capacity

- Structure is essential for programs to function
- Ethical policies required
- Safety policies required
- Paid staff is important to alleviate work on volunteers and bring expertise
- Charging fees to participate some can't afford
- Funding needed for programming

Research and innovation

- Evidence based decision-making
- Important for equipment and safety
- Coordinate/reference research done in other areas to better position value of sport (i.e. mental health)
- Research solutions (i.e. best practices)
- Everyone is aware of the wellness benefits of sport, but how to promote it?
- Health benefits research is sufficient
- More required for CS4L

Question 10.0

What are the resources (e.g. human, financial, equipment, facilities) that your organization needs to fully achieve its potential in delivering sport programs and services?

- Funding programs (9)
- Facilities need more (7)
- Infrastructure resources appropriate
- Equipment need more (6)
- Volunteers (6)
- Partnerships/collaborations (municipal-local-PSO-Parks & Rec-NGO-NSO-Coaches (4)
- Coaching development (4)
- Organizational capacity (3)
- Transportation (3)
- Teachers (3)
- Participants (3)
- Staff funding for staff (3)
- Equipment quality/appropriate (3)
- Certified coaches (2)
- Officials (2)
- Sponsorship & fundraising (2)
- Time & materials to train coaches/leaders/officials/volunteers (2)
- Facilities multi level functioning (2)
- School Access education & facilities (2)
- Media support (2)
- Insurance (2)
- Accountability program success (2)
- Sport science specialists (2)
- High performance coaches (2)
- More young children participating/FMS (2)
- All sport organizations to embrace LTAD (2)
- Available competitions at all developmental levels
- Facilities affordable access
- Coaches affordable access
- Funding CS4L
- Funding travel
- Funding developmental carding
- Funding access to grants
- Volunteers need motivated & engaged
- Volunteer retention
- Officials affordable access
- Transportation of equipment (i.e. sailing, bobsleigh)
- Sport sciences
- Visibility/promotions/marketing
- Education trained specialists
- Effective LOC's for organizing events
- Organized parent involvement
- Help with municipal access rules/regulatory issues
- Support from private sector
- Help with governance

- Administrative space
- Space/time for adults (disabled, females, grassroots)
- National recognition of all disciplines
- Able bodied organizations recognizing disabled disciplines
- Integration of adaptive sport
- Public awareness of certified training levels
- National understanding of what occurs at the provincial level
- Staff qualified
- Awareness of LTAD
- Governance
- Linking ministries sport, health, education
- Partnerships in sharing athletes
- Community support
- Value based understanding
- Provincial unified voice for sport
- School system integration
- Marketing expertise
- Political support
- Creative collaboration
- Family support
- Passion to develop sport
- Parent education
- Access to rural Alberta sport programs
- Public awareness of sport
- Central database of volunteers for sport/rec
- Coordinated facility bookings
- Athlete recruitment & retention

Question 10.1

What are some current limitations?

- Volunteers gaining skilled and gualified (4)
- Volunteer training/specialization
- Coaches qualified (3)
- Land/Parks access (3)
- Funding lack of (3)
- Volunteer screening (2)
- Facilities lack of (2)
- Facilities cost (2)
- Coaches cost (2)
- School access (2)
- Education school programming (physical activity, phys. Ed specialists, systemic shift) (2)
- Risk management/liabilities (2)
- Cost to participate (2)
- Volunteers recruitment & retention (2)

- Athletes recruitment & retention (2)
- Lack of collaboration between groups pooling resources, communication
 (2)
- Time (2)
- NSO -> PSO communication (2)
- Fundamental movement skills of children
- Evaluation tools
- Parent education
- Sports competing for the same athletes
- Travel (large centers to small centers)
- Staffing numbers and specialists
- Staff recruitment & retention
- Staff spend more time fundraising and less programming
- Support for play at all levels
- Insurance costs
- Formalized coaching in the school system
- Stability simplified NCCP
- Access to roads (at low cost)
- Recruitment/retention of coaches
- Funding top down
- Shrinking participation due to socio-economic factors
- Violence in sport
- Competition for children's time
- Lack of parent encouragement for their children in sport
- Education system no longer demonstrating a large sample of sports
- Education lack of physical development
- Conflict in LTAD youth Olympics and commonwealth games age categories
- Lack of overall plan
- Policy
- Accessibility
- Evaluation/measurement
- Working in silos
- Sharing facilities
- Access to younger (school) athletes
- How to support FMS at young ages
- Attracting youth
- Opportunities based on socio-economic factors
- Governance (compliance with government)
- Adaptive sport awareness
- Athletes success correcting to funding (OTP)
- Climate change & environment

Question 13.0

What are the benefits of improving linkages between provincial sport organizations and (i) municipal-level sport clubs, (ii) schools and (iii) recreation departments/organizations?

- Facilities shared use (10+)
- Facilities better use/increased use (4)
- Shared resources/information (10+)
- Cross training opportunities (7)
- Financial resources pooling (6)
- Best practices/skill transfer (6)
- Athlete development better system from playground to podium (5)
- Build relationships/Networking (5)
- Reduced duplication programs/services/operations (5)
- Improved efficiencies (6)
- Common interest areas identified (3)
- Cost sharing/benefit (3)
- Align yearly planning calendars (3)
- Better exposure/PR for sport (3)
- Communications improved (3)
- Resource expertise (2)
- Economies of scale (2)
- Collaboration opportunities (2)
- Athletes talent identification (2)
- Standardization of structures, rules, processes (2)
- Marketing Consistent target market (2)
- More sport representation on school boards (2)
- Programs increase = participation increase (2)
- Unified voice for Sport (2)
- Access to schools
- Improved programming
- Improved leadership development/opportunities
- Early teachers of FMS
- Matching seasonal sports
- Building future leaders
- Better services to membership
- Share membership lists
- Fundraising common initiatives
- Political will needed
- Common goals/objectives identified
- Staff specialists
- Financial responsibility for deficits, damage, wear and tear
- Reciprocal use agreements with schools/stakeholders
- Develop scholarships
- Build internship programs
- Quality control access to expertise (PSAs)
- Recognition of similarities
- Inclusion

- Increased negotiation power
- Event hosting more and improved
- Schools non-profit amateur user group fees
- Greater program reach
- More organized structure
- Greater level of awareness
- Shared beliefs
- Coordinated message regarding benefits of sport
- Aligning PSO/PROs
- More opportunities to introduce sports in school system
- Shared safety standards
- Policy/governance/legal integration

Question 13.1

What are the challenges to improving these linkages?

- Territoriality/ownership (5)
 - o Athletes
 - Volunteers
 - Facilities
- Facilities coordination (3)
- Unclear lines of communications (3)
- Funding prioritizing (2)
- Time to collaborate (2)
- Volunteers overextended (2)
- Volunteer requirements to become involved
- Liability with sharing equipment/resources (2)
- School administration (2)
- Inconsistent messaging
- Funding not enough for staffing
- Competition for resources
- Variable goals
- Slower program delivery
- Access to Alberta Gaming funding
- Personality conflicts
- Office locations
- Consensus building
- Role of post secondary institutions
- Refusal/inability to share
- Conflict management between organizations
- Rigid/inflexible government policies & procedures
- Unrealistic view of number of participants (not all can access & participate, government thinks otherwise)
- Privatization of after school care
- Protectionism
- Inconsistent overarching goals

- Focus on grassroots vs. high performance
- Capacity
- Singular interests (recreational)
- Corporate sector buy-in
- Defined roles/responsibilities between organizations
- Accountability
- Facilities municipality coordinated
- Physical literacy
- Equipment & resource sharing
- Administration sharing
- Geographic connections
- Human resource changeover
- Groups penalized (grants) for collaborating (PSOs)
- Lack of awareness of expertise available
- Utilizing expertise of rural sport delivery
- Communicating adaptive needs (Canada Games Council)
- School principals limit opportunities
- NSO to PSO LTAD linkages
- Not enough opportunities for groups to congregate
- Athletes are not good "salespersons" in accessing corporate funding
- Silo approach

Question 14.0

Do you promote sport intentionally for community building purposes or is your orientation primarily for sport objectives?

YES - Potential

- Community engagement (5)
- Youth development (3)
- Life skills development (2)
- Health/Active Lifestyle (2)
- Health promotion (2)
- School system sport as part of the learning experience (2)
- PSO+NSO+Community groups partnering to deliver sport/participation programs around active living
- PSO's and commodities (clubs, municipalities, colleges) deliver summer/winter camps
- Community safety
- Business building
- Non-sport identification (i.e. Justin Bieber demonstrating active living basketball, soccer)
- Target non-traditional athletes (inactive, overweight)
- Target identified groups
- PSO+Environment+Clubs build awareness & appreciation
- Fundraising

- PSO's run community based programs outside traditional programming (i.e. pink shoe/volleyball program for breast cancer, Terry Fox support)
- Athlete sharing
- Cross training between sports
- Depends on who you are promoting to athletes vs. facilities

Question 14.1

If not, why not? What conditions, if any, would need to exist for your organization to pursue non-sport outcomes?

- Sports have focused on sport objectives
- Schools focus on community building
- Youth are dictating future trends

Question 14.2

If yes, please share the positive outcomes from your efforts.

- Community Building (5)
- Community leadership (3)
- Educate society/spectators (3)
- Access for All/inclusion (3)
- Promotion of events (2)
- Scholarships (2)
- Many industry leaders credit sport in schools
- Returning participants and new participants = increased programming, increased value to community
- Sponsors service clubs (include funding, awareness, participant levels)
- Get kids "off the streets"
- Sustainability
- Legacy programs
- Pride
- Culture change
- Active for Life/Participation
- Public support
- Enhance community image/profile
- Overall health
- Mental wellness
- Self-esteem/self confidence
- Clean environment
- Better citizens ("green")
- Inspire future athletes
- Enhance brand recognition
- Local business & sport partnerships

- Develop coaching capacity
- Develop programs for future employment
- Build facilities
- Role models
- No High performance sport focus
- CS4L

ROADBLOCKS

- Establishing relationships/trust/communication/goals & objectives/values
 (2)
- Access to facility space (2)
- Promotions/brand awareness (2)
- Internal organizations competing for funding, sports, participants (i.e. decreased funding = increased attention to education)
- Costs/fees
- Funding
- Travel
- Insurance
- By-laws
- Ego
- Apathy
- Volunteers
- Leadership
- Venue location
- Awareness
- Trained facilitators
- IT Media
- Marketing
- Volunteer recruitment/transition
- Time
- Staff
- Resources
- Community buy-in

Meeting Attendees: Edmonton – June 14th

Richard Adams Alberta Soccer Association
Barb Adamson Sport Medicine Council of Alberta

Joseph Alfonso Karate Alberta

Michelle Berg Provincial Fitness Unit
Tim Berrett Athletics Alberta
Robert Campbell Lifesaving Society
Pat Covington Alberta 55 plus

Rick Curtis Alberta Recreation Parks Association
Judith Down Alberta Centre for Active Living

Isa Duxbury Yoga Alberta

Shari Foster Alberta Baton Twirling Association

Brian Fryer Football Alberta

Denise Gariépy Skate Canada: Alberta-NWT/Nunavut

Michele George Alberta Camping Association

Janet Giles YMCA Alberta

Dale Good Alberta Sport Parachute Association

Dave Harrison CSPS Mtn Division
Bill Hatter Darts Alberta

Carole Holt KidSport Society of Alberta

James Hood Swim Alberta

Ole Jacobsen Alberta Soccer Association

Henry Komant Alberta Sport Parachute Association

Barbara Kusyanto Lifesaving Society

Jaclyn Landry Alberta Cheerleading Association

Dean Lawson Darts Alberta Leona Logie Alberta 55 plus

Grady Long Bowling Federation of Alberta Heather Lothian Alberta Bicycle Association

Joyce Loucks Alberta Schools' Athletic Association

Jennifer Luzia Synchro Alberta Amy MacKinnon InMotion Network

Barbara May Alberta Racquetball Association

Ella Mayer InMotion Network

Carol Moeller Girl Guides of Canada-Alberta Council

Joel Mrak Judo Alberta

Michael Neary Cross Country Alberta
Peter Ogilvie Athletics Alberta

Jon Olfert Alberta Camping Association

John Paton Alberta Schools' Athletic Association

Philip Penner Nature Alberta Ken Rutherford Tennis Alberta

John Shearer Bowling Federation of Alberta Karen Slater The Steadward Centre

Glenn Sommerville Water Ski & Wakeboard Alberta

Debbie Spence Yoga Alberta

Daniel Stetic Alberta Team Handball Federation

Kelly Thornton Judo Alberta Rob Virgil Hockey Alberta Marc Ward Karate Alberta

Roman Wozniak The Steadward Centre

Sylvio Fex Boxing Alberta
George Paleniuk Alberta Broomball
Fennie Fraser Girl Guides of Alberta
Fran Zinger Girl Guides of Alberta
Conrad Fenneira Hockey Alberta

Vaughn McGrath Cross Country Alberta

Jim Titley Boxing Alberta

Allyson Szafranski Canadian Association for Disabled Skiing Alberta

Donna Hately Alberta Sports Hall of Fame & Museum

Linda Strong Watson SHAPE

John Cushing Alberta Sport Development Centre - Capital Region

Michael Cook Alberta Fish & Game

Calgary - June 15th

Greg Atkinson ASDC-Central

MaureenCalderAlberta Freestyle Skiing AssociationDaynaChristmasAlberta Water Polo AssociationDixieCrowsonAlberta Equestrian Federation

Elaine Danelesko Mount Royal University
Sonia Dantu Alberta Equestrian Federation
Kirk De Fazio Special Olympics Alberta

Bill Dean Alberta Colleges Athletic Association

Steve Ellefson Wheelchair Sports Alberta

Gayle Fathoullin Alberta Amateur Speed Skating Association
Judi Frank Alberta Recreation & Parks Association
Derek Fraser Chinook Rhythmique Gymnastic Club

Richard Galaway Alberta Rowing

Dean Giesbrecht Alberta Gymnastics Federation

Travis Grindle ASDC Southwest

Karla Handy Paddle Alberta Society (1976)

Bernie Harrison Alberta Federation of Shooting Sports

Jason Hegerfeldt Alberta Luge Association

Dale Henwood Canadian Sport Centre Calgary

Carol Hermansen Alberta Rowing

Ron Hewitt Alberta Sailing Association
Andy Holmwood Alberta Bicycle Association

Adam Hull Alberta Alpine

Logan Jones Paddle Alberta Society (1976)

Mike Klass ASDC-Central

Pascale Levesque Alberta Orienteering Association
Peter MacDougal Alberta Sailing Association

Katherine MacKeigan Provincial Fitness Unit AFLCA, CSEP BFFL Network

Paula MacWilliam Alberta Netball

Mike Marshal Alberta Amateur Speed Skating Association

ZakkMorrisonASDC-SELouiseNewburrySynchro AlbertaLynnNixonSquash Alberta

Colleen Parsons University of Calgary for BFFL Centre

Stephen Price Mount Royal University

Tom Reid Alberta Ski Jumping & Nordic Combined

Don Riddle Alberta Orienteering Association

Shelley Rudd SOFTBALL

Jason Rusu Alberta Sprint Racing Canoe Association

Karen Saunders Special Olympics Alberta

Shirley Stoddart Alberta Netball

Jon Stuart Alberta Sprint Racing Canoe Association

Leslee Taylor Canadian Red Cross

Max Thompson Alberta Ski Jumping & Nordic Combined
Patti Thompson Chinook Rhythmique Gymnastic Club

Martin Thumwood Alberta Water Polo Association

Brian Torrance Ever Active Schools

Al Ulsifer Alberta Freestyle Skiing Association

Paul Wagner Softball
Doug Bird Swim Alberta

Chuck Lee Alberta Amateur Wrestling Association

John Stone CADS

DanNobleAlberta Field Hockey AssociationAlanMorachAlberta Bobsleigh AssociationBobGloverAlberta Luge AssociationSharonDingleSkate Canada - Alberta/NWTTedHodgsonIndigenous Sport Council

Ken Davies Alberta Division of Biathlon Canada Association